Impact Analysis of EQA Processes in HEIs.
Pluralistic Methodology and Application of a Formative Transdisciplinary Impact Evaluation

Grant co-funded by EACEA /EC (LLP Erasmus Multilateral Projects)
Priority 5: Improving Governance and Funding

IMPALA European Seminar in Cooperation with ENQA

Impact Analysis of External Quality Assurance in HEIs: Methodology and Its Relevance for Higher Education Policy

evalag, Mannheim, May 19-20, 2014
IMPALA project partners & Workplan

AQU Catalunya + UAB – ARACIS + UTCB – evalag + Uni S – FINHEEC + JAMK – Prof. Dr. Bjørn Stensaker – ENQA – ESU

• Develop impact evaluation methodology

• Carry out systematic impact analysis of EQA procedures (case studies: institutional and program accreditations)

WORKPLAN AND WORK PACKAGES
The information in this worksheet should be consistent with Part G (and Part I if applicable) of your Application Form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK PACKAGE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>START</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MNGT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DISS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EXP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>QPLN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>TIMEFRAME &amp; LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st project meeting (kick-off)</td>
<td>November 05-06, 2013; Mannheim (evalag)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two papers on general methodological questions of impact analysis</td>
<td>Spring/summer 2014 (published/in press)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd project meeting</td>
<td>April 10-11, 2014; Bucharest (ARACIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European conference seminar (in collaboration with ENQA)</td>
<td>May 19-20, 2014; Mannheim (evalag)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPALA methodology paper</td>
<td>Summer/autumn 2014 (in preparation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASELINE STUDIES</td>
<td>July – September 2014; each HEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd project meeting</td>
<td>October 9-10, 2014; Helsinki (FINHEEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDLINE STUDIES</td>
<td>Winter/spring 2014/15; each HEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th project meeting</td>
<td>Jan – March 2015; Barcelona (AQU Cat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress report</td>
<td>March – April 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDLINE STUDIES</td>
<td>November 2015; each HEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th project meeting</td>
<td>December 2015; Bucharest (ARACIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of data</td>
<td>Feb – March 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE (in collaboration with ENQA)</td>
<td>April 2016; Barcelona (AQU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project publication</td>
<td>(June –) Sept 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro-Region training workshops</td>
<td>Sept 2016; Germany/ Spain/ Romania/ Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final report</td>
<td>Sept 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation of the Success or Failure of EQA in HEIs: Theory and Practice

- Our knowledge about the successes & failures of QA in HE is rudimentary
- Outline of methodology, design & potential benefits of impact evaluation
- Impact evaluation simultaneously with ongoing QA procedures (thus not solely dependent on ex-post information)
- More integration of more stakeholder groups

Contribute to impact evaluation as cornerstone of strategic QA in HE (in EHEA)
Basic considerations for development of IMPALA methodology

• METHODOLOGICAL OPTIONS of impact analysis in general and in HE

• CAUSALITY in social dynamical systems

• AIMS of impact analysis & IMPALA (e.g., GENERIC instrument; usability)

• Current impact analysis
  o TYPES OF INFORMATION COLLECTION
  o CHOICE OF FOCI of EQA and its EFFECTS
  o RELEVANT STAKEHOLDER GROUPS & their appropriate integration
  o COMMON & GENERIC FEATURES of EQA processes (in the project)

• Further theoretical/methodological options & PERSPECTIVES

• EQA GENERIC QUESTIONNAIRE
METHODOLOGICAL OPTIONS of impact analysis in general & in HE

• EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN – repeatability → unfeasible for impact analysis of QA in HEIs

• CONTROL GROUP DESIGN – define control system (with intervention vs. without) → unfeasible for impact analysis of QA in HEIs

• BEFORE-AFTER COMPARISON DESIGN – Compare system after intervention with system before (baseline)

• EX-POST ANALYSIS
Further general METHODOLOGICAL ELEMENTS (used in IMPALA)

- ANALYSIS OF DOCUMENTS and DATA COLLECTIONS

- HYPOTHESES ABOUT CAUSE-EFFECT RELATIONSHIPS (CAUSAL SOCIAL MECHANISMS)

- ASSESSMENTS/ESTIMATIONS of intervention effects BY PARTICIPANTS and KEY INFORMANTS

- COUNTERFACTUAL SELF-ESTIMATION OF PARTICIPANTS

- COMPARATIVE CASE STUDIES
CAUSALITY on social dynamical systems

• **ATTRIBUTION PROBLEM**: Multiplicity of factors; Influences and correlated changes interact, overlap, work against each other

• “**CAUSATION** is one of the most important and contentious issues in social science. Any aspiration for a better social world, whether they concern the alleviation of inequities or the promotion of wealth, must explicitly or implicitly rely on beliefs about the causes and effects of government policies, social institutions, norms, or other phenomena that fall within the purview of social science” (Steel 2011, p. 288).
AIMS of impact analysis & IMPALA

• GENERIC instrument(s) → … → … →

  TESTED prototype MODEL of QUESTIONNAIRE(s)
  for, e.g., ENQA website …

• USABILITY of instruments
  (evaluation standards: utility; feasibility; propriety; accuracy)
AIMS of impact analysis & IMPALA (continued)

- Improve QA agencies’ & HEIs’ abilities & achievements:
  - Identification and **measurement of effects** of QA measures
  - Contribute to understanding of **relationship between QA and organisational change** (of HEIs)
  - Contribute to more systematic, valid and reliable answers to the questions:
    - Do different QA procedures have significantly **different impacts** in HEIs, or not?
    - **How** do different stakeholders inside (and outside) HEIs **perceive** and value impact of QA (and QA)?
    - What role do QA procedures play in higher education with respect, e.g., to **change of organisational practices** and **processes**, to the **achievements** in different core areas, and to the establishment of **quality culture**?
AIMS of impact analysis & IMPALA (continued)

Thus, impact studies of QA in HE could well make valuable contributions to further improve

• Systematic & strategic quality development in HEIs
• Effectivity & efficiency of external QA in HEIs
• Methods & instruments of QA agencies
• Effectivity & efficiency of internal QA in HEIs
• Establishment of evidence-based & research-informed HEI governance models, HEI quality policy, and national and European HE policy
AIMS of impact analysis & IMPALA (continued)

Summary: systematic proof of effects of QA procedures would constitute a methodological improvement because it would lead to a deeper and more comprehensive understanding of the interaction and interdependency of the participating stakeholder actors and bodies and the involved organisational structures and processes.

Goes without saying that all these aims are declared goals of the EHEA, subsumable under the labels of

- Adequate quality assurance & accounting
- Supporting HEI autonomy
- Fostering students participation
- Rise of quality culture
TYPES OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

Mixed methods: Various types, quantitative data & qualitative information

- ONLINE SURVEYS (mixed closed & open questions)
- STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS
- Intensive interviews
- Data analysis
- Document analysis
CHOICE OF FOCI of current impact analysis

• Interesting effect areas (focus of most EQA and of IMPALA partners)
  o Learning and teaching
  o Institutional management (of L&T)

RELEVANT STAKEHOLDER GROUPS & their appropriate integration

Selected focus groups of engaged representatives of

(1) Students; (2) Academic Staff; (3) HEI Leadership; (4) QA Managers
COMMON & GENERIC FEATURES of EQA processes

• Program accreditation & Institutional accreditation

• Generic procedural elements

• List of common intended aims – demonstrated by questionnaire questions
  o Effectiveness of learning & teaching processes
  o Achievement of learning outcomes
  o Effectiveness of internal QA

• ESG orientation – demonstrated by questionnaire questions
  o Assessment methods
  o Matching EQF
  o Involvement of external stakeholders
Further theoretical/methodological options & PERSPECTIVES

- Causal network graphs

- Cross-impact matrix approach

- Causal social mechanism (Coleman‘s boat)
EQA GENERIC QUESTIONNAIRE(s) – Some further features

• Most probably separate questionnaire for students

• Module-like character, e.g., further/other questions, achievement areas, stakeholder groups, QA procedures, …

• “Work in progress”

Theme of Monday afternoon WGs …
Guiding questions of Monday afternoon WGs – EQA GENERIC QUESTIONNAIRE(s)

• Questionnaire long enough?
• Questionnaire difficult enough?
• Relevance and importance of questions?
• Questions univocal?
• Pilot/test study? (a first one NOW …)
• Choice of participants in the “interviewed” focus groups/ sample? Statistical relevance? …
• Do not know / Cannot answer / Do not want to answer …
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